

From: Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 12/6/2011 2:12:34 PM

To: Michael DAndrea/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Helen Duteau/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:
Subject: Fw: Dimock Water

2nd resident email inquiry w/ response

Trish

----- Forwarded by Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US on 12/06/2011 02:11 PM -----

From: Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US
To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: David Polish/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 12/06/2011 02:08 PM
Subject: Re: Dimock Water

Hello; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

What we are saying is that based on our preliminary evaluation of pre-existing sampling data that was provided to us by some residents and PADEP for wells in Dimock, we have not identified any "imminent and substantial endangerment," meaning something that would trigger EPA to take emergency action under the Safe Drinking Water Act. The email was a status update. We are continuing to receive and review data.

Sincerely,
Trish Taylor, Community Involvement Coordinator
Hazardous Site Cleanup Division (Mailcode 3HS52)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3
1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA., 19103
phone: (215) 814 - 5539
fax: (215) 814 - 3015

From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
To: Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 12/05/2011 08:21 AM
Subject: Dimock Water

Dear Ms. Taylor:

I have read you email dated December 2, 2011 with regards to the Dimock water situation. I am tired of all of our governmental agencies being so careful with the words they chose and then their careful words being spun by the industry to fuel their PR Campaigns. I would like you to define for me the words "immediate health threat". What other information that you have in your possession has not been reviewed? Do you not think that this email was premature if you have not reviewed ALL of the information available?

It is time for the agencies that are supposed to be protecting the people to start using some common sense instead of referring to their manuals and standards all the time. There is no doubt in my mind that the water that exists in Dimock, for the families involved in your review, is not water that would be safe or acceptable for humans or animals to drink. Why do government agencies continue to spew information that is so against the reasons for which the taxpayers of this country allowed the organization and funding of agencies such as the EPA? It is time for the agencies to represent the people and not the corporations. This madness has to stop.

I would appreciate a response to my questions above. Thanking you in advance for your cooperation in this matter.

Respectfully,

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy